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Abstract
This study intends to examine the triggers of livelihoods transformation among the Borana pastoralists of Dhas district in Borana zone, southern Ethiopia. The Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) tools, such as key informant interviews and focused group discussions, were used to explore the perceptions of local communities on the causes of livelihood transformation and its impact on the well-being of pastoralists and their overall survival. Purposive sampling was used to administer key informant interviews and FGDs. The findings of this study indicate that the foremost causes of livelihoods transformation in Dhas district include frequent drought and environmental degradation, pastoralists’ sedentarisation policies and conflict over boundary and grazing lands. Previously, pastoralism livelihood system was the most viable strategy in the study area providing sustainable livestock products, while, at the same time, protecting water and rangeland resources and safeguarding environment warrant the Borana pastoralist’s well-being. However, with the changing livelihood dynamics, the Borana’s pastoral system underwent major upheavals, enfeebling the pragmatism of these practices. Therefore, this study concludes that a comprehensive development strategy for supporting pastoral livelihood becomes the need of the hour to guide the efforts of all relevant stakeholders operating in pastoral areas.
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1. Introduction
Livelihood diversification among pastoralists in eastern Africa has been common for the past 50 or more years, but has been especially prominent since the regional droughts of 1979-80 and 1984 (Little, 2016). All aspects of pastoral social and economic
life are ordered in relation to livestock and the environment in which they live (Mkutu, n.d.: 11). In pastorialist societies, livestock hold central value and are the basis of association in a complex of social, political and economic institutions. Pastoralist livelihood is practiced predominantly in toughest environments, where resources like grassland and water are erratically available (Alemayehu & Hizekeal, 2022), and usually cannot support other economic activities. In the words of Mkutu (n.d.: 11):

“Some 500-600 million people live in the arid and semi-arid parts of the world, some 30-40 million of them depend entirely on animals. Of these 30-40 million people, 50-60 percent people are found in Africa. The Horn of Africa contains the largest grouping of pastoralists in the world: Sudan has the highest pastoralist percentage globally, while Somalia and Ethiopia rank third and fifth, respectively. In Djibouti, one third of the population is pastoralist. The semi-arid and arid areas in the Horn make up 70 percent of the total land area, which provides an average of 20 to 30 percent of GDP.”

However, pastoralism as a livelihood system is under jeopardy. According to Watson (2006) and Little (2016), the main drivers and threats for pastoralist’s livelihoods system were perennial drought, irrelevant resource management policies, frequent conflict, and disputes with neighboring ethnic communities over grazing rights.

Despite the fact that most pastoralists in east Africa still have their flocks and herds of livestock, others have lost all their animals during severe drought and have been unable to re-stock (Little, 2016). In addition, Little (2016) and Avis (2018) argue that conflict has encouraged the securitization of many border areas, alongside the commercialization and appropriation of pastoralists’ land by certain vested interests, “resulting in the loss [and] fragmentation of rangelands induced sedentarisation of pastoralists, and a radical reduction in livestock numbers” (Galaty, 2013: 152). The outcome has been an increasing trend of diversification through experimentation with a range of non-pastoral livelihood options to adopt sedentary lifestyles (Avis, 2018; Watson, 2006).

The Borana pastoralists are forced into livelihood diversification due to severe drought and frequent conflicts, alienation of rainy season in ephemeral areas, and the environmental degradations that ensued owing to reduction in rangeland assets. Previously, research and development interventions have been proposed and implemented without adequate knowledge about the pastoralist’s livelihood vulnerability to various drivers. The objectives of this study are to assess the causes of livelihood transformation, and to explore the vulnerability of pastoralists’ livelihoods and contexts in which they currently function.

2. Methodology

2.1 The Study Area

The study was conducted in Dhas district of Borana zone, southern Ethiopia between November and December of 2021. Dhas district has 7 Pastoralist Associations (PAs) namely; Borbor, Tesso-kalo, Gayo, Mata-arba, Raro, Dhaas and Gorille (Alemayehu & Doda, 2020). To be able to collect adequate information of the study area, three PAs were purposively selected for primary data collection i.e., Borbor, Dhaas and Gayo. In these three PAs, the Borana pastoralist’s livelihoods have been transforming at an alarming rate as a significant number of pastoralists have evolved to diversify their livelihoods due to various push factors.

---

1 Pastoralist Association is the smallest administration division beneath district.
Dhas district is semi-arid district located in eastern Borana zone. The vast majority of the district is unfit for agriculture and most of its population customarily practiced pastoralism. It’s just recent that many households have begun to involve in small scale irrigation and other means of livelihoods. Dhas district share borders with Wachille, Miyo, Dire and Dubulk, Guchi district and Somali regional state of Ethiopia in the north, west, southwest, southeast and east, respectively (see Figure 1). Dhas district is the home to pastoral people rearing cattle, goats, sheep and camels. According to Dhas District Pastoralists Development Office (DDPDO, 2019 cited in Alemayehu & Doda, 2020: 112), land use description is revealed as follows: rangeland accounts for 47.3%, bush land 44.37% and bare land 8.33%.

![Map of study area](source:Dhas District Disaster and Risk Prevention, 2021)

### 2.2 Data Collection

PRA was employed to gather the data. Tools like Key Informant Interviews (KII) and Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were utilized to explore the perceptions of local communities on the causes of livelihood transformation and its impacts on the subsistence. The PRA tools comprised semi-structured questions that allowed open and flexible exchange of ideas between researcher and informants. Moreover, purposive sampling was used for administering KIIIs and the FGDs. A total of 18 key informants were interviewed: three government officials (from administration and security office; Pastoralist and Irrigation Development Office and Disaster and Risk Prevention Office) and 15 from local communities (10 male and 5 female). Moreover, 3 FGDs, having 8 participants in each session, were conducted to attain group’s views. Of three FGDs, 1 was conducted with women in Dhasa PA, while two FGDs were conducted with male participants, one in each of the remaining PAs.
2.3 Data Analysis

The data collected from KIIs and FGDs were categorized and interpreted in relation to the research objectives. The collected qualitative data was thematically analysed. Thematic analysis is a method of data analysis utilized for categorizing, investigating and reporting themes within the data to develop categories and sub-themes. These themes encompass the causes of livelihood transformation, the vulnerability of pastoralists’ livelihoods and the contexts in which they currently function.

3. Results and Discussion

Results indicate that the following are the main drivers for the Borana pastoralist’s livelihood transformation in Dhas district:

3.1 Frequent Drought and Environmental Degradation

The southern lowland of Ethiopia is characterized by recurrent droughts with high livestock mortality that often resulted in frightening the practicality of pastoral livelihood. In Dhas district of Borana zone, frequent drought and unceasing environmental degradation highly bothered the sustainability of Borana pastoralist’s livelihood more than any drivers of livelihood transformations. Massive livestock death due to drought, particularly in the last 15 years, has badly affected the livelihoods of the communities and the overall food security in the Dhas district. Dhas district was one of the most affected areas in Ethiopia by the 2011 drought. According to FAO (2014), the total death rate was as much as 60%, 40%, and 25-30% for cattle, sheep and goats, respectively. Likewise, the recent droughts between 2021 and 2022 have provoked catastrophic impacts on the livelihoods of entire Borana communities.

According to Dhas District Pastoralist and Irrigation Development Office, “approximately 90% pastoralists in Dhas district lost their livestock possessions [the main sources of their subsistence] due to the delay in seasonal rainfalls for two consecutive years [four rainy seasons]. For that reason, the government distributed plot of lands around the traditional deep wells of Borbor, Gorille and Dhas PAs to destitute pastoralists and trained them to establish small scale irrigation at the

Figure 2: Showing the skeleton of dead cattle in Borbor PA during the 2021-2022 droughts [Source: Dhas District Disaster and Risk Prevention Office, 2021]
expenses of drought prone pastoralism to diversify their livelihoods. Accordingly, prolific results in Borbor and Dhas PAs have been witnessed and the communities started to sell their fruits and vegetable products they produced through irrigation in the proximate districts of Moyale and Wachille."

The Borana pastoralists were pretty skillful to deal with drought impacts as they were customarily capable to migrate to new productive grassland areas. However, in the past three decades, recurrent drought resulted in precarious environmental degradation and livestock fatalities owing to drought cycles condensation from 10-12 years to 3-4 years (Alemayehu & Doda, 2020; interview with key informants, 2021, Borbor).

The Borana pastoralists opted to rely on already wrinkled environments that have been degraded over numerous years and facing increasing pressures from unstable weather patterns. They resort to deleterious coping strategies, such as the collection
and sale of fuel wood and charcoal that undermines long term sustainable livelihoods and natural resources. Overall, frequent drought and environmental degradation dynamics have considerably thwarted the livelihood system of Borana pastoralists. Hence, the climatic inconsistency in Borana Zone and Dhas district in particular has triggered immense challenges for pastoral livelihood system. These signposts that the Borana pastoralist’s livelihoods are exceedingly knotted with water and rangeland resources and tenacity in climate change triggers obliteration of natural resources, severe stress on livelihood sectors and an income loss that affects the basic foundation of their pastoral livelihood.

3.2 Pastoralist Sedentarisation Policies

The tenure regime of the natural resources in Ethiopian lowlands experienced deep changes since the end of 19th century and were triggered by a number of factors (Helland, 2006: 29). In formal terms, the legal status of pastoral land is comparable to that of farmland, and the rights of Ethiopia’s pastoralists are little different from those of its farmers (Peter et al., 2010). Even if the pastoralist livelihood systems do not correspond with the sedentary lifestyle; they have permanently found themselves at the sympathy of agrarian development policies.

In Dhas district, government-initiated pastoralist development policies have factually marginalized the communal land tenure customs that depict pastoral livelihoods system. To vitalize their sedentarisation strategies, government has implemented development projects that restrict livestock mobility and promote sedentarisation and mixed agro-pastoral system i.e., crop and livestock production as a replacement for pastoralism. According to key informants and FGD participants’ elucidations, government rhetoric in the implementation of sedentarisation policy is diligently concomitant to the accessibility of basic infrastructures such as school, water, veterinary post and health station. However, the process by which this is being done is not complementary with pastoralist’s means of survival. Abbink et al. (2014) stipulated that the permanent settlement of pastoralists is seen by government as the solution for the perceived problems of water and pasture scarcity in semi-arid areas ill-suited to rain-fed agriculture, despite the often adequate adaptation pattern of pastoralist’s vis-à-vis their volatile environment.

In Dhas district, sedentarisation of Borana pastoralists has resulted in overexploitation of rangeland and water resources around the pre-urban settlements of Gayo, Dhaas and Borbor PAs. In the aforementioned pre-urban settlements, a number of basic infrastructures were built by the government and non-governmental organizations (at the request of government) to inspire sedentarisation programs. These changes are anticipated to further encourage the sedentarisation and to reduce significantly the number of livestock being raised by the Borana pastoralists (Degen, 2011). Alemayehu & Doda (2020: 117-118) noted that “the Borana pastoralists have a customary settlement rule (dongora sera) that restrict to encamp in dry and wet season grazing reserves. This customary practice is commonly used by the Borana pastoralists to save pasture for the dire time, to broaden grazing land scope, as well as to hinder inflowing of human settlement into the adjoining dry and rainy season grazing land areas.”

However, contrary to the customary settlement rule of Borana pastoralists, human settlements and the expansion of basic infrastructures by government in formerly restricted grazing reserves have generated tragic trends for the sustainability of Borana pastoralists’ livelihood system. These unfitting sedentarisation policies have restricted pastoral mobility between the wet and dry grazing lands and watering sites, which is the paramount response and adaptation strategy within the dry-land milieu. Hence, this study argues that the classical paradigm for pastoral development in Ethiopia based on sedentarisation is ineffective. A new paradigm based on mobility
of livestock, communal property management and all-embracing production systems are thus vital to sustain the pastoralists’ livelihood.

3.3 Conflict over Boundary and Grazing Lands

Even though sporadic conflicts between the Borana and neighbouring pastoralist communities have been part of the history of inter-community relations in the past, the frequency and severity of inter-community conflicts has increased in recent years (Solomon, 2006; Odhiambo, 2012). The politics of ethnicity-based federalism has generated permanent and inflexible boundaries between different ethnic groups and has contributed to limiting the massive lands that pastoralists used to cross in search of pastures and water resources for their livestock. Abdulahi (2005) recounted that Borana and Garri resided in one administrative region in the pre-federal Ethiopia and lived in peace for many years; nevertheless they experienced sporadic conflicts over the access to resources. In their long-lasting relationship, they developed a common approach to shared resources, culture and governance systems (Abdulahi, 2005: 7). However, the introduction of such uncompromising restrictions has ascended perpetual tensions among various ethnic groups. In addition, the regional state boundary demarcations established in 1991 has also augmented disputes between the Borana and Garri people. According to Temesgen (2010), the two rival communities are in discrepancy over the south eastern border of Oromia region (which is also the border of Borana lowlands and the adjacent Somali region).

As of 1991, conflict over boundary has exposed the mobility of Borana pastoralists’ livestock to severe pressures. Consistently, Odhiambo (2012) and Pavanello & Levine (2011) reported that the implementation of ethnicity-based federalism has disrupted nomadic grazing movement patterns and restricted the Borana pastoralist coping strategies against adversity and drought, thereby, increased their exposure to shocks. Due to periodic conflicts in 2019-2020 between Borana and Garri Somali, rainy season grazing areas in Mata-arba and Rarro PAs and other vital rangeland transitory areas and water points were abandoned. This has exaggerated the scarcity of pasture and water as well as overgrazing in other PAs of Dhas district. In addition, numerous families have been displaced and exposed to starvation. Thus, the flow of displaced people and livestock have contributed to the obliteration of the hitherto insubstantial natural resources.

For centuries, pastoralists have ignored national borders and have engaged in activities, such as transhumance, related to their livelihoods being characterized by high levels of trans-border mobility. The rights of ethnic groups to self-administration, as enshrined in the 1994 Ethiopian Constitution, have provoked a contest towards control over land, as territorial gains at the PA, district as well as regional levels transform into more administrative power, land and potentially food relief. This means that pastoralist rangeland and water utilization system is no longer capable to respond to the environmental and climate variability triggering environmental degradation.

In sum, the conflict between the two groups has not only led to the death of many Borana and Garri, but it has also intimidated the livelihood security of the Borana pastoralists as multiple seasonal grazing areas such as boji, dhaka-wata, cari-ilu, dida har-heddu, tuma, udet and kojiya, have been acquired by the Garri (demarcated within Somali region).

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, push factors such as frequent drought, conflict, sedentarisation policies and environmental degradation were found to be the major threats to the sustainable practice of pastoralism in Dhas district. Paradoxically, there also existed a general consensus that pastoralism remains the most viable livelihood and production
system for the toughest environmental conditions. Yet, it is transforming and adapting to the severe drought and environmental stress resulting in declining pastoral practices. To conclude, we propose that there is a necessity for more deepened and sympathetic understanding of the drivers causing pastoralists' livelihood transformation in order to design supportive strategies that address their concerns.
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